



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgmia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/875,401 06/05/2001 Mark W. Miles 005652.P001 EXAMINER 8791 7590 12/01/2004 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN NGUYEN, JENNIFER T 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 2674

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		C	09/875,401	MILES, MARK W	MILES, MARK W.	
		E	xaminer	Art Unit		
		J	ennifer T Nguyen	2674		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>05 June</u>	<u>2001</u> .			
2a)⊠ This	action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This ac	tion is non-final.	•		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) O 5)∭ Claim 6)∭ Claim 7)∭ Claim	 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Pa	apers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 07/07/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cok (Pub. No.: US 2002/0186209).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, referring to Figs. 10-13, Cok teaches a touch screen display comprising a pressure tolerant display including a plurality of interference modulation elements; and a touch screen (10) directly coupled to the display (49) [0029]-[0031].

Regarding claim 3, Cok further teaches the touch screen is a pressure sensitive touch screen [0031].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claims 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cok (Pub. No.: US 2002/0186209) in view of Credelle et al. (Pub. No.: US 2002/0181208).

Regarding claim 5, Cok differs from claim in that he does not specifically teach the display comprises: a substrate having a first surface and second surface; an array of the interference modulation elements fabricated on the first surface of the glass substrate; a seal coupled to the first surface of the glass surface; a packaging component coupled to the seal. However, Credelle teaches a substrate (900) having a first surface and second surface; an array of the interference modulation elements fabricated on the first surface of the glass substrate; a seal (809) coupled to the first surface of the glass surface; a packaging component (804) coupled to the seal (see Figure 8B and [0055]-[0060]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the display as taught by Credelle in the system of Cok in order to simplify the display, reduced weight and bulk of the device.

Regarding claim 7, Cok further teaches the touch screen (14) is directly coupled to the second surface (50) of the display (49) (Figs. 7 and 10).

Regarding claim 8, Cok further teaches a front surface element (120) coupled to the second surface (50) of the substrate (Figs. 7 and 10).

Regarding claim 9, Cok further teaches the touch screen (14) is directly coupled to the front surface element (120) (Figs. 7 and 10).

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,401

Art Unit: 2674

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed 07/07/2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to Applicants' argument filed "Cok fails to teach or suggest a pressure-tolerant display including a plurality of interference modulation elements". However, in the specification page 7, a line 8-12, Applicant suggests that organic light emitting diode display (OLED) is a pressure-tolerant display that alternatively work as an interferometric modulator display. Accordingly, the OLED of Cok with the touch screen mounted or laminated directly to a glass plate on the display is read on the claim invention. Therefore, the rejection is still maintained.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2674

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A Hjerpe can be reach at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

JNguyen 11/26/2004

> REGINA LIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER